
Municipal solid waste management in Indian cities – A review

Bundela P.S.¹, Gautam S.P.², Pandey A.K.³, Awasthi M.K.², Sarsaiya S.²

1- Regional Office, Madhya Pradesh Pollution Control Board, Vijay Nagar Jabalpur
Madhya Pradesh, India

2- Central Pollution Control Board, New Delhi

3- Mycological Research Laboratory, Department of Biological Sciences, Rani
Durgavati University, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh
romppcbjbp@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT

Agricultural application of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW), as nutrient source for plants and as soil conditioner, is the most cost-effective option of MSW management because of its advantages over traditional means such as landfilling or incineration. However, agricultural application of MSW can lead to a potential environmental threat due to the presence of pathogens and toxic pollutants. Composting is an attractive alternative of MSW recycling. Application of MSW compost (MSWC) in agricultural soils can directly alter soil physicochemical properties as well as promote plant growth. The soil microbial biomass, considered as the living part of soil organic matter, is very closely related to the soil organic matter content in many arable agricultural soils. Numerous studies, with different MSWC amendment doses on different soil types and under different water regimes revealed no detrimental effect on soil microbial biomass. In this review, we show the state of art about the effects of MSWC amendment on soil microbial biomass.

Key Words: Soil quality, Microorganisms, Composting, Organic Carbon, Plant nutrients.

1. Introduction

Rapid industrialization and population explosion in India has led to the migration of people from villages to cities, which generate thousands of tons of MSW daily. The MSW amount is expected to increase significantly in the near future as the country strives to attain an industrialized nation status by the year 2020 Sharma and Shah (2005) CPCB (2004) Shekdar *et al.*, (1992). Poor collection and inadequate transportation are responsible for the accumulation of MSW at every nook and corner. The management of MSW is going through a critical phase, due to the unavailability of suitable facilities to treat and dispose of the larger amount of MSW generated daily in metropolitan cities. Unscientific disposal causes an adverse impact on all components of the environment and human health Rathi, (2006) Sharholy *et al.*, (2005) Ray *et al.*, (2005) Jha *et al.*, (2003) Kansal (2002) Kansal *et al.*, (1998) Singh and Singh (1998) Gupta *et al.*, (1998). The waste generated is consequently released into the nearby environment. Consequently, the management of the MSW needs to be revamped to accommodate the changes in the quantity and quality to ensure the longevity of the environment. Due to several legislative, environmental, economic and social constraints, the identification of most sustainable disposal route for MSW management

remains an important issue in almost all industrialized countries Adani *et al.*, (2000). Generally, MSW is disposed of in low-lying areas without taking any precautions or operational controls. Therefore, MSWM is one of the major environmental problems of Indian megacities. It involves activities associated with generation, storage, collection, transfer and transport, processing and disposal of solid wastes. But, in most cities, the MSWM system comprises only four activities, i.e., waste generation, collection, transportation, and disposal. The management of MSW requires proper infrastructure, maintenance and upgrade for all activities. This becomes increasingly expensive and complex due to the continuous and unplanned growth of urban centers. The difficulties in providing the desired level of public service in the urban centers are often attributed to the poor financial status of the managing municipal corporations Mor *et al.*, (2006) Siddiqui *et al.*, (2006) Raje *et al.*, (2001) MoEF (2000) Ahsan (1999). Agricultural application of MSW, as nutrient source for plants and as soil conditioner, is the most cost-effective MSW disposal option because of its advantages over traditional means such as landfilling or incineration. According to Canellas *et al.*, (2001), the use of MSW in agricultural lands can be justified by the need of finding an appropriate destination for waste recycling. However, agricultural application of MSW may present a potential threat to the environment due to the presence of pathogens and several pollutants (i.e., heavy metals or organic pollutants). An attractive alternative to recycling such wastes is composting. Composting is a stabilization process through aerobic decomposition of waste, which has been widely used for different types of wastes Cai *et al.*, (2007). During composting, through microbial action organic nutrients present in the wastes are converted into plants available forms Ndegwa and Thompson (2001). The process can effectively reduce the mixture volume by 40–50% and by means of the metabolic heat generated in the thermophilic phase destroy the pathogens Epstein (1997). Composting cannot be considered a new technology, but among the MSW management strategies it is gaining interest as suitable option for chemical fertilizers with environmental profit, since this process eliminates or reduces the toxicity of MSW Araujo *et al.*, (2001) Kaushik and Garg (2003) Araujo and Monteiro (2005) and leads to a final product which can be used in improving and maintaining soil quality Larney and Hao (2007). Application of MSW compost in agricultural soils can directly improve soil physico-chemical properties such as: soil structure, water retention capacity, buffering capacity and nutrient status Reeves (1997). In relation to soil biological properties, numerous researchers have reported different effects of MSW compost on soil microbial biomass and activity Moreno *et al.*, (1999) Selivanovskaya *et al.*, (2001) Saviozzi *et al.*, (2002) Araujo and Monteiro (2006) Pedra *et al.*, (2007) Barral *et al.*, (2009) Roca-Perez *et al.*, (2009).

2. Composting of MSW

Composting is a spontaneous biological decomposition process of organic materials in a predominantly aerobic environment. During the process bacteria, fungi and other microorganisms, including micro arthropods, break down organic materials to stable, usable organic substances called compost Bernal *et al.*, (2008). It is also known as a biological reduction of organic wastes to humus or humus-like substances. The extension or efficiency of the composting process is dependent on various factors Bernal *et al.*, (2008) such as on the formulation of the composting mix, nutrient

balance, pH, particle size, porosity and moisture, and also on the process management, such as O₂ concentration, temperature and water content. Nutrient balance is basically defined by C quality and C/N ratio. Thus, the presence of readily degradable carbon (C), like carbohydrate in waste, accelerates the process of decomposition. Thereafter, decomposition slows on account of the greater resistance to decomposition of remaining C compounds (lignin and cellulose). Generally, the higher the lignin and polyphenolic content of organic materials, the slower their decomposition Palm and Sanchez (1991). The process of composting occurs into two stages Pereira Neto and Stentiford (1992). The initial stage is known as the thermophilic stage in which an increase in temperature occurs (about 65°C). In this stage, there is the decomposition of readily degradable compounds like sugars, fats and proteins. During this stage, the organic compounds are degraded to CO₂ and NH₃, with the consumption of O₂. The pH typically decreases since organic acids are produced (Chen and Inbar 1993). Additionally, pathogenic microbes and helminthes eggs are eliminated as a result of heat generated during this process. Thus, the organic compost is safer for use by farmers. The second and final stage is known as stabilization stage, where there is decrease in temperature which remains about 25–30°C. In this step the process of humification of organic compost occurs. At the end of this stage, the organic compost is cured and there are increases in humic matter content and cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the compost. Thus, compost can be defined as the stabilized and sanitized end product of composting, which has undergone an initial rapid stage of decomposition. The compost has certain humic characteristics and is beneficial to plant growth thus making the composting of MSW a key issue for sustainable agriculture and resource management Bernal *et al.*, (2008) Araujo *et al.*, (2008) Araujo and Monteiro (2006) Zucconi and Bertoldi (1987).

3. Use of MSW compost in agricultural soils

Compost represent an important resource to maintain and restore soil fertility and are of great values nowadays, particularly in those countries where the organic matter content of the soil is low Castaldi *et al.*, (2004). Soil organic matter plays a major role in maintaining soil quality Pedra *et al.*, (2007). In addition to supplying plant nutrients, the type and amount of soil organic matter influences several soil properties Araujo *et al.*, (2008). Increasing the soil organic matter improves soil properties, enhances soil quality, reduces soil erosion, increases plant productivity and soil microbial biomass. Thus, in the regions where organic matter content of the soil is low, agricultural use of organic compost is recommended for increasing soil organic matter content and consequently to improve and maintain soil quality. Apart of increasing soil organic matter content, application of organic compost can affect soil quality by: (a) Decreasing the need of chemical fertilizers and pesticides Zibilske (1987) (b) Allowing for more rapid growth in plants Bulluck and Ristaino (2002) (c) Sequestering C in soil that has received compost application; (d) Improving tillage and workability of soil; (e) Increasing soil microbial biomass and activity Bulluck and Ristaino (2002) Araujo and Monteiro (2006). Recently, Roca-Perez *et al.*, (2009). Incorporated MSW compost into soil and reported that the use of compost increased soil quality in two soils from Spain. The application of MSW compost increased soil organic matter, N, P and stable aggregates from both amended soils. The results also showed a positive response of

plant growth to application of MSW compost in both soils. However, heavy metals (HMs) such as Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn are found in all MSW compost, and there are obvious concerns about such toxic elements entering the food chain through food crops to which composts have been applied as fertilizer Gillet (1992). According to Richard (1992), heavy metals are not biodegraded by process of composting, and can become concentrated due to the loss of carbon and water from the compost due to microbial respiration. However, Araujo and Monteiro (2006) showed a decreasing in heavy metals (HMs) content in textile sludge as a result of composting. In order to regulate the land application of heavy metals in MSW compost, various countries from European Union and the USA have regulated the heavy metal content in MSW compost by providing permissible limit. Thus, the application of MSW compost in soil can promote changes in soil microbial biomass and activity, mainly due heavy metals content. There is an important need to evaluate the effect of MSW compost on soil microbial biomass.

4. Soil microbial biomass

The biological activity in soils is largely concentrated in the topsoil, which may vary from a few to 30 cm. In topsoil, the biological component inhabit a tiny fraction (10.5%) of the total soil volume and make up less than 10% of the total organic matter. These biological components consist mainly of soil organisms, specially, microorganisms Araujo and Monteiro (2007). The microorganisms carry out important functions in the soil, such as nutrient cycling and the degradation of pollutant (pesticides, urban and industrial wastes) Dick (1997) Haney *et al.*, (2003) Watanabe and Hamamura (2003) Araujo *et al.*, (2003) Araujo and Monteiro (2006) Goncalves *et al.*, (2009). Microorganisms are largely responsible for the elements cycles within the soil and are involved in decomposing of the organic matter at the ecosystem level Bastida *et al.*, (2008). According to Powlson *et al.*, (1987), the main function of microorganisms is to mediate soil processes, being a sensitive indicator of changes in soil organic matter. The soil microbial biomass comprises of all soil organisms, other than plant tissue, with a volume of less than about 5.9×10^3 /m³ and can thus be considered as the living part of soil organic matter (Brookes 2001). The proportion present as living microbial cells in soil (microbial biomass C in mg per kg of soil) typically comprises 1–5% (w/w) of total organic C, and microbial N forms 1–6% (w/w) of total organic N Jenkinson and Ladd (1981) Wardle (1992). Soil microbial biomass represents the fraction of the soil responsible for the energy and nutrient cycling and regulation of organic matter transformation. In this way the organic residues are converted to biomass or mineralized to CO₂, H₂O and mineral nutrients representing an important pool of nutrients (N, P and S), which are continually assimilated during the growth of microorganisms. Thus, microbial biomass is considered important source and drain of nutrients in the soil, promoting mineralization of organic matter in inorganic nutrients (NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, H₂PO₄⁻, SO₄²⁻ and CO₂) and consequent availability for plant growth, or immobilizing the nutrients in microbial tissues for their maintenance and growth. Consequently, soils that maintain a high content of microbial biomass are capable of accumulating and cycling nutrients in the soil system Gregorich *et al.*, (1994).

5. Effect of MSW compost amendments on soil microbial biomass

Compost is rich in organic matter and is an important source plants nutrient Gallardo-Lara and Nogales (1987). Nutrients present in compost are also used by the soil microbial biomass. Incorporation of organic materials, such as MSW compost, in soil promotes soil microbiological activity. Consequently, compost promotes directly or indirectly changes in soil biological properties. Several studies have been conducted to evaluate the effect of MSW compost on soil microbial biomass. The most of research focused the effect of composted sewage sludge on soil microbial biomass and activity Fließbach *et al.*, (1994) Kandeler *et al.*, (2000) Singh and Agrawal (2008). Soil microbial biomass is very closely related to the soil organic matter content in many arable agricultural soils Houot and Chaussod (1995) and biomass C is generally about 2–3% of soil organic C Anderson and Domsch (1989). In view of the fact that, it is through the microbial biomass mineralization of important organic elements take place, microbial activity is very closely related with soil fertility Frankenberger and Dick (1983). Semiarid areas soils have a very low microbial activity, low levels of microbial biomass and low organic matter content Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000b) Garci'a *et al.*, (1994). The microbial biomass C can be used more effectively than the total organic C content as an indicator of variations in soil fertility Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a), since it responds more rapidly and with a greater degree of sensitivity to soil changes. However, according to Garci'a *et al.*, (1994), dehydrogenase activity can also be used as an indicator of microbial activity in semiarid soils. It is mainly due to the increased oxidation after cultivation, tillage operations etc. that results in physical disruption on the soil surface and results in erosion of top organic matter rich soil Smith *et al.*, (1993). Therefore, being the living part of soil, the microbial biomass can be a useful index for comparison of natural Ross *et al.*, (1982) and degraded Sparling *et al.*, (1981) ecosystems. Composted municipal solid wastes may also contain heavy metals Giusquiani *et al.*, (1995) that can cause environmental hazards Brookes (1995) Stratton *et al.*, (1995) and affect soil microbial and biochemical quality Brookes and McGrath (1984) Tyler (1981). It is following MSW amendment. Combinations of soil biochemical, microbiological and physical properties have been investigated using conventional determinants, such as C, N, P contents, pH, texture, metabolic quotient, biomass and enzyme activities Nannipieri (1994) Trasar-Cepeda *et al.*, (1998) Leiro's *et al.*, (1999). Various soil processes have been considered to be the most suitable rapid indicators of changes in soil quality Visser and Parkinsson (1992). The soil chemical characteristics also make a significant contribution to its quality and therefore can be measured to define soil quality Hassink (1997). It is the soil microbiological and biochemical components, which are more sensitive to the changes in soil quality. Soil microbial biomass and its activities are rapid markers of detecting changes in soil quality and gives early indication of soil pollution due to exogenous input in soil Nannipieri (1994). Microbial biomass C and enzyme activities studies of soil provide information on the biochemical processes occurring in the soil and also provide evidence that soil biological parameters may serve as potential early and sensitive indicators of soil ecological stress and restoration Doran and Parkin (1994) Dick and Tabatai (1992). Laboratory incubation studies, with different municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) amendment doses on different soil types Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001) and under different water regimes Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2003), revealed no

detrimental effect on soil microbial biomass-C (MBC), urease as well as phosphatase activities of soil. Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a) carried out a long term field experiment to study the changes in microbial activity that took place in an agricultural soil as a result of MSW compost amendment at two different rates over 9 years and to compare a manure treatment, a mineral fertilization and a non-amended control. The treatments used in the experiments were control without fertilization (Control), compost applied at two rates i.e. 20 t ha⁻¹ (C20) and 80 t ha⁻¹ (C80), cow manure (MA) 20 t ha⁻¹ and mineral fertilization (MIN) consisting of 400 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK 15–15–15 and 150 kg ha⁻¹ of NH₄NO₃. Three consecutive years of compost treatment at the mentioned rates were carried, followed by three years of no application and two successive years repeating the initial treatments. Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a) reported that MSW addition increased microbial biomass C by 10 and 46%, respectively at application rates of 20 and 80 t ha⁻¹, as compared to the control (no amendment) while MA treatment increased microbial biomass C by 29%. The ratio of soil microbial C to soil organic C was found to be lowest at the high rate of MSW application. Dehydrogenase and catalase are intracellular enzymes which are involved in microbial oxidoreductase metabolism. The activity of such enzymes basically depends on the metabolic state of soil biota. Dehydrogenase and catalase enzymes were reported to be higher in the MSW treatments by 730 (C20) and 200% (C80), respectively, and by 993 and 140% in MA treatments than in the unamended control soil Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a), indicating an increase in the microbial metabolism in the soil, as a result of biodegradable C fraction mineralization contained in the amendments. Inhibition in dehydrogenase activity has also been reported by several workers due to the toxic effect of heavy metals added with an organic amendment Marzadori *et al.*, (1996) and Cu Chander and Brookes (1991). The presence of heavy metals in MSW, as confirmed by the increasing Zn, Cu and Pb concentrations in soil with compost amendment, contributed to the decrease in phosphatase and urease activities while other enzymes (dehydrogenase, catalase, protease) were not affected Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a). The increase in soil microbial biomass with the organic amendments is mainly due to the microbial biomass contained in the organic residues and the addition of substrate-C, which stimulates the indigenous soil microbes Garci'a-Gil *et al.* (2000a). Heavy metals present in MSWC decrease the proportion of microbial biomass C in total soil organic matter (Brookes and McGrath 1984) and the ratio of soil microbial C to soil organic C has been suggested as a useful measure of soil pollution caused by heavy metals Brookes (1995) and reduction in soil microbial C to soil organic C ratio due to metal pollution has been reported many workers Chander and Brookes (1991) Fliessbach and Reber (1992). In semiarid conditions, soil biomass is subjected to seasonal variations and has an influence on soil microbial C to soil organic C ratio. Garci'a-Gil *et al.*, (2000a) reported that highest rate of MSW compost application had the lowest ratio of biomass C to soil C, indicating a low biomass C content in soil as compared to the organic C. Pascual *et al.*, (1999) have reported that an 8 year amendment of an arid soil with the organic fraction of a MSW at 6.5 and 26 t ha⁻¹ positively affected the activity of enzymes involved in the C, N, P cycles as well as on biomass C, suggesting that addition of MSW might be an appropriate technique to restore soil quality. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2003) carried an experiment to study the residual effect of MSW compost (MSWC) and cow dung manure (CDM) either singly or integrated with urea

on microbial biomass and enzyme activities of mustard after submerged rice paddies were grown. Study was carried out for two consecutive winter seasons of 1997 to 1998 on mustard grown at the Agriculture Experimental Farm, Calcutta University, Baruipur, West Bengal, India. The treatments consisted of control, no input and MSWC, at 60 Kg N ha⁻¹ and well rotted cow dung manure (CDM), at 60 Kg N ha⁻¹ and MSWC (30 Kg N ha⁻¹) ? Urea (U, 30 Kg N ha⁻¹) and CDM (30 Kg N ha⁻¹) ? Urea (30 Kg N ha⁻¹) and fertilizer (at recommended use at 60:30:30 NPK ha⁻¹ through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively). Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2003) reported that soil microbial biomass-C (MBC), urease (UR) and acid phosphatase (AP) activities were higher in cow dung manure amended soil than that amended with MSW compost, due to the qualitative differences between the two materials. Organic matter, like CDM or MSWC, addition significantly increased the soil microbial biomass-C (MBC) in contrast to amend with fertilizer and control. Soil system receiving more organic matter tends to harbour higher levels of soil MBC with greater microbial activity Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001). Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2003) also reported that appreciable amounts of heavy metals present in MSWC produced no evidence of any detrimental influence on MBC, UR and AP activities of soil. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001) carried an experiment to study the effect of the MSW compost addition to a lateritic soil on the dynamics of soil quality indicators, such as microbial biomass carbon (C), glucoseinduced soil respiration, urease (UR) and phosphatase activities under laboratory conditions. Calcutta municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) were amended at different doses (0, 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 t ha⁻¹) in laterite soil (Typic Haplustalf) and were studied over 120 days of incubation at 30_C under 60% soil water holding capacity. The microbial biomass C, soil respiration activity, and enzyme activities were found to increase with the increasing doses of MSWC. Soil microbial biomass- C (MBC) and soil respiration activity reached its peak values at 30 days of incubation and thereafter gradually decreased up to 120 days of incubation. Urease and acid phosphatase activities showed its peak values at 60 and 90 days of incubation, respectively Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001). Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001) also reported that although the compost harboured appreciable amounts of heavy metals. Municipal solid waste compost doses as high as 20 tha⁻¹ did not generate any detrimental effect on soil quality indicators Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2001). In an arid soil from Spain, the addition of MSW compost at different doses (6.5 -2 and 26.0 kg m⁻²) showed higher values of microbial biomass C, soil basal respiration and dehydrogenase activity than that of control soil, which reached values near to those of the natural soils in the area Pascual *et al.*, (1999). The MSWC amendment had a positive effect on the activity of enzymes related with C, N, P cycles, mainly when the amendment was at the highest dose. The results indicated that the addition of MSWC could be an appropriate practice with which soil quality can be restored Pascual *et al.*, (1999). Albiach *et al.*, (2001) investigated the effects of recommended rates of five organic amendments (24 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of MSWC, sewage sludge, and ovine manure, 2.4 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of commercial vermicompost, and 100 l ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ of a commercial humic acids solution) application on organic matter, total humified substances, humic acids, carbohydrates and microbial gums contents in soil, and the structural stability of aggregates. Four and five years after the beginning of the experiment, significant increments in most of the parameters studied were found, whereas the two commercial amendments (Vermicompost and humic acid) did not produce any significant change. These amendments

(Vermicompost and humic acid) also did not produce any significant effect on soil biological activity Albiach *et al.*, (2000). MSW compost yielded the highest increases, even if the amount of organic matter applied as ovine manure was very similar. Organic matter and carbohydrates appeared to be the parameters most closely related to soil aggregate stability Albiach *et al.*, (2001). Bastida *et al.*, (2007) carried out a study to evaluate the long-term (17 years) effect of five doses of MSW addition (0, 65, 130, 195, and 260 Mg ha⁻¹) on the microbiological, biochemical, and physical properties of semiarid soil. Bastida *et al.*, (2007) reported increased values of parameters that serve as indicators of general microbiological activity, such as, basal respiration, adenosine triphosphate (ATP) or dehydrogenase activity; microbial population size (microbial biomass C), and extracellular hydrolase activity related to macronutrient cycles, such as, urease, b-glucosidase, and N-a-benzoyl-L-argininamide protease in the amended soils. The highest MSW doses (260 Mg ha⁻¹) showed the highest values in the hydrolase activities Bastida *et al.*, (2007). In short-term, high quantity of MSW compost promoted an increase in microbial biomass of forest soil at Russia Selivanovskaya and Latypova (2006). In all studies, the increase in soil microbial biomass content after application of MSW compost is result of the availability of organic C in the amendment. Thus, the application of MSW compost affected the soil content of C and N, and ultimately soil microbial biomass and activity. On the other hand, according with heavy metals quantity and bioavailability in MSW compost and MSWC amendment in soil may affect soil microbial biomass. Bouzaiane *et al.*, (2007) evaluated the use of MSWC amendment (at 40 and 80 Mg ha⁻¹) on soil microbial biomass, in a semi-arid zone of Tunisia. The microbial biomass content showed the highest values with use of compost 40 t ha⁻¹. According to the authors, the lower soil microbial biomass content was due to high content of heavy metals in compost at 80 t ha⁻¹ treated soil. In a short-term experiment, Pedra *et al.*, (2007) evaluated the effect of low and high rates (30 and 60 Mg ha⁻¹) of MSWC on soil microbial biomass. In low rate there was an increase in soil microbial biomass, while that in high rates soil microbial biomass decreased.

6. Conclusion

Agricultural utilization of MSWC is the most costeffective MSW management option over traditional means such as landfilling or incineration as it enables recycling of potential plants nutrients. Soil microbial biomass use the nutrients present in compost. Organic materials amendment in soil, such as municipal solid waste compost (MSWC), promotes microbiological activity, but the presence of potential toxic heavy metals is of much concern. Different effects of MSW compost application on soil microbial biomass and activity have been reported by numerous researchers. According to some studies, appreciable amount of heavy metals in MSWC does not seem to have any detrimental influence on microbial biomass and enzyme activities in soil. But there are some reports which show that heavy metals present in MSWC decrease the proportion of microbial biomass C in total soil organic matter. The increase in soil microbial biomass with the MSWC amendments is mainly due to the microbial biomass present in the organic residues and the addition of substrate-C, which stimulates the indigenous soil microbes. Effect of HMs on soil microbes depends on soil as well as MSW characteristics and its amendment rates. Therefore physicochemical analysis of MSWC

is necessary before its land application. More research is needed with different soil types and MSW amendment rates to evaluate the effect of MSWC application on soil microbial biomass and reach the final conclusion.

7. References

1. Adani, F., Scatigna, L., Genevini, P., 2000, : “Biostabilization of mechanically separated municipal solid waste fraction”, *Waste Manage Res*, 18, pp 471–477.
2. Albiach, R., Canet, R., Pomares, F., Ingelmo, F., 2000, : “Microbial biomass content and enzymatic activities after the application of organic amendments to a horticultural soil”, *Bioresour Technol*, 75, pp 43–48.
3. Albiach, R., Canet, R., Pomares, F., Ingelmo, F., 2001, : “Organic matter components and aggregate stability after the application of different amendments to a horticultural soil”, *Bioresour Technol*, 76, pp 125–129.
4. Anderson, T.H. and Domsch, K.H., 1989, : “Ratio of microbial biomass carbon to total organic carbon in arable soils”, *Soil Biol Biochem.*, 21, pp 471–479.
5. Araujo, A.S.F. and Monteiro, R.T.R., 2005, : “Plant bioassays to assess toxicity of textile sludge compost”, *Scientia Agricola.*, 62, pp 286–290.
6. Araujo, A.S.F. and Monteiro, R.T.R., 2006, : “Microbial biomass and activity in a Brazilian soil plus untreated and composted textile sludge”, *Chemosphere*, 64, pp 1043–1046.
7. Araujo, A.S.F. and Monteiro, R.T.R. 2007, : “Indicadores biológicos de qualidade do solo”, *Biosci J.*, 23, pp 66–75.
8. Araujo, A.S.F., Sahyoun, F.K., Monteiro, R.T.R., 2001, : “Evaluation of toxicity of textile sludge compost on seed germination and root elongation of soybean and wheat”, *Ecosistema.*, 26, pp 117–120.
9. Araujo, A.S.F., Monteiro, R.T.R., Abarkeli, R.B., 2003, : “Effect of glyphosate on the microbial activity of two Brazilian soils”, *Chemosphere*, 52, pp 799–804.
10. Araujo, A.S.F., Santos, V.B., Monteiro, R.T.R., 2008, : “Responses of soil microbial biomass and activity for practices of organic and conventional farming systems in Piauí state”, *Brazil. Eur J Soil Biol.*, 44, pp 25–30.
11. Barral, M.T., Paradelo, R., Moldes, A.B., Dominguez, M., Diaz- Fierros, F., 2009, : “Utilization of MSW compost for organic matter conservation in agricultural soils of NW Spain”, *Res Conserv Recy.*, 53, pp 529–534.
12. Bastida, F., Moreno, J.L., García, C., Hernández, T., 2007, “Addition of urban waste to semiarid degraded soil: long-term effect”, *Pedosphere*, 17, pp 557–567.

13. Bastida, F., Kandeler, E., Moreno, J.L., Ros, M., Garcia, C., Hernandez, T., 2008, : “Application of fresh and composted organic wastes modifies structure, size and activity of soil microbial community under semiarid climate”, *Appl Soil Ecol*, 40, pp 318–329.
14. Bernal, M.P., Albuquerque, J.A., Moral, R., 2008, : “Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment: a review”, *Bioresour Technol*, 99, pp 3372–3380.
15. Bhattacharyya, P., Pal, R., Chakraborty, A., Chakrabarti, K., 2001, “Microbial biomass and its activities of a laterite soil amended with municipal solid waste compost”, *J Agron Crop Sci*, 187, pp 207–211.
16. Bhattacharyya, P., Chakrabarti, K., Chakraborty, A., 2003, “Residual effect of municipal solid waste compost on microbial biomass and activities in mustard growing soil”, *Arch Agron Soil Sci*, 49, pp 585–592.
17. Bouzaiane, O., Cherif, H., Ayari, F., Jedidi, N., Hassen, A. 2007, : “Municipal solid waste compost dose effects on soil microbial biomass determined by chloroform fumigation extraction and DNA methods”, *Ann Microbiol*, 57, pp 681–686.
18. Brookes, P.C., 1995, : “The use of microbial parameters in monitoring soil pollution”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 19, pp 269–279.
19. Brookes, P.C., 2001, : “The soil microbial biomass: concept, measurement and applications in soil ecosystem research”, *Microb Environ*, 16, pp 131–140.
20. Brookes, P.C., McGrath, S.P., 1984, : “Effect of metal toxicity on the size of the soil microbial biomass”, *J Soil Sci*, 35, pp 341–346.
21. Bulluck, L.R., Ristaino, J.B., 2002, : “Effect of synthetic and organic soil fertility amendments on southern blight, soil microbial communities, and yield of processing tomatoes”, *Phytopathology*, 92, pp 181–189.
22. Cai, Q., Mo, C., Wu, Q., Zeng, Q., Katsoyiannis, A., 2007, : “Concentration and speciation of heavy metals in six different sewage sludge-compost”, *J Hazard Mater*, 147, pp 1063–1072.
23. Canellas, L.P., Santos, G.A., Rumjanek, V.M., Moraes, A.A., Guridi, F., 2001, : “Distribuição da matéria orgânica e características de ácidos húmicos em solos com a adição de resíduos de origem urbana”, *Pesqui Agropecu Brasileira*, 36, pp 1529–1538.
24. Castaldi, P., Garau, G., Melis, P., 2004, : ” Influence of compost from sea weeds on heavy metal dynamics in the soil-plant system”, *Fresen Environ Bull*, 13, pp 1322–1328.

-
25. Chander, K., Brookes, P.C., 1991,: “Is the dehydrogenase assay invalid as a method to estimate microbial activity in copper- contaminated soils”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 23, pp 909–915.
 26. Chen, Y., Inbar, U., 1993, : “Chemical and spectroscopical analyses of organic matter transformation during composting in relation to compost maturity. In: Hoitink HAJ, Keener HM (eds) *Science and Engineering of Composting: Design, Environmental, Microbiological and Utilization Aspects*. Renaissance Publications; Worthington, OH, pp 550–600
 27. Central Pollution Control Board, (CPCB), 2004,: “Management of Municipal Solid Waste. Ministry of Environment and Forests”, New Delhi, India.
 28. Dick, R.P., 1997,: “Soil enzymes activities as integrative indicator of soil health. In: Pankhurst C, Doube BM, Gupta VVSR (eds) *Biological indicators of soil Health*. CAB International, Cambridge, pp 121–156.
 29. Dick, W.A., Tabatai, M.A., 1992, : “Potential uses of soil enzymes. In: Metting F B Jr (ed) *Soil Microbial Ecology: Applications in Agricultural and Environmental Management*”, Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 95–127
 30. Doran, J.W., Parkin, T.B., 1994,: “Defining and assessing soil quality. In: Doran, JW, Coleman, D., Bezdicek, DF and Stewart, BA (Eds), *Defining soil quality for sustainable environment*. special Publication 35, pp 3–21. Soil Sci Soc Am Inc, Madison, WI. Environmental Quality. Lewis Publishers, New York
 31. Epstein, E., 1997, *The science of composting*. Technomic Publishing, Lancaster, USA
 32. Fliessbach, A., Reber, H.H., 1992,: “Effects of long-term sewage sludge applications on soil microbial parameters. In: Hall JE, Sauerbeck DR, L’Hermite P (eds) *Effects of organic contaminants in sewage sludge on soil fertility, plants and animals*. document no. EUR14236. Office for Official Publications of the European Community, Luxembourg, pp 184–292.
 33. Fließbach, A., Martens, R., Reber, H.H., 1994,: “Soil microbial biomass and microbial activity in soils treated with heavy metal contaminated sewage sludge”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 26, pp 1201–1205.
 34. Frankenberger WT, Dick WA 1983,: “Relationship between enzyme activities and microbial growth and activity indices in soil”, *Soil Sci Soc Am J.*, 47, pp 945–951.
 35. Gallardo-Lara, F., Nogales, R., 1987,: “Effect of the application of town refuse compost on the soil–plant system: a review”, *Biol Waste*, 19, pp 35–62.
 36. Garcí0a, C., Herna0ndez, T., Costa, F., 1994,: “Microbial activity in soils under Mediterranean environmental conditions”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 26, pp 457–466.

-
37. Garcí0a-Gil, J.C., Plaza, C., Soler-Rovira, P., Polo, A., 2000a, : "Longterm effects of municipal solid waste compost application on soil enzyme activities and microbial biomass", *Soil Biol Biochem*, 32, pp 1907–1913
38. Garcí0a-Gil, J.C., Herna´ndez, T., Pascual, J.A., Moreno, J.L., Ros, M., 2000b,: "Microbial activity in soils of SE Spain exposed to degradation and desertification processes. Strategies for their rehabilitation. In: Garcí'a C, Herna´nez T (eds) *Research and perspectives of soil enzymology in Spain*. CEBAS-CSIC, Murcia, pp pp 41–143.
39. Gillett, J.W., 1992,: "Issues in risks assessment of compost from municipal solid waste: occupational health and safety, public health and environmental concerns", *Biomass Bioenerg* 3, pp 145–162.
40. Giusquiani, P.L., Pagliai, M., Gigliotti, G., Businelli, D., Benetti, A., 1995, : "Urban waste compost: effects on physical, chemical and biochemical soil properties", *J Environ Qual*, 24, pp 175–182.
41. Goncalves, I.C.R., Arau´jo, A.S.F., Carvalho, S.E.M., Carneiro, R.F.V., 2009,: "Effect of paclobutrazol on microbial biomass, respiration and cellulose decomposition in soil", *Eur J of Soil Biol*, (in press)
42. Gregorich, E.G., Carter, M.R., Angers, D.A., Monreall, C.M., Ellert, B.H., 1994, : "Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic-matter quality in agricultural soils", *Can J Soil Sci*, 74, pp 367–385.
43. Gupta, S., Krishna, M., Prasad, R.K., Gupta, S., Kansal, A., 1998,: "Solid waste management in India: options and opportunities", *Resource, Conservation and Recycling*, 24, pp 137–154.
44. Haney, R.L., Senseman, S.A., Krutz, L.J., Hons, F.M. 2003,: "Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization as affected by atrazine and glyphosate", *Biol Fertil Soils*, 35, pp 35–40.
45. Hassink, J., 1997, The capacity of soils to preserve C and N by their association with clay and silt particles. *Plant Soil*, 191, pp 77–87.
46. Houot, S., Chaussod, R., 1995, : "Impact of agricultural practices on the size and activity of the soil microbial biomass in a long-term field experiment", *Biol Fertil Soils*, 19, pp 309–316.
47. Jenkinson, D.S., Ladd, J.N., 1981,: "Microbial biomass in soil: measurement and turnover. In: Paul EA, Ladd JN (eds) *Soil biochemistry*. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 415–471.
48. Jha, M.K., Sondhi, O.A.K., Pansare, M., 2003, : "Solid waste management – a case study. Indian", *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 23, 10, pp 1153–1160.

-
49. Kandeler, E., Tschirko, D., Bruce, K.D., Stemmer, M., Hobbs, P.J., Bardgett, R.D., Amelung, W. 2000,: “Structure and function of the soil microbial community in microhabitats of a heavy metal polluted soil”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 32, pp 390–400.
 50. Kansal, A., 2002,: “Solid waste management strategies for India”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 22,4, 444–448.
 51. Kansal, A., Prasad, R.K., Gupta, S., 1998,: “Delhi municipal solid waste and environment – an appraisal”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 18, 2, pp 123–128.
 52. Kaushik, P., Garg, V.K., 2003, :“Vermicomposting of mixed solid textile mill sludge and cow dung with the epigeic earthworm *Eisenia foetida*”, *Bioresour Technol*, 90, pp 311–316.
 53. Larney, F.J., Hao, X., 2007, :“A review of composting as a management alternative for beef cattle feedlot manure in southern Alberta, Canada”, *Bioresour Technol*, 98, pp 3221–3227.
 54. Leiro's, M.C., Trasar-Cepeda, F., Garcí'a-Ferna'ndez, F., Gil-Sotres, F., 1999, : ” Defining the validity of a biochemical index of soil quality”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 30, pp 140–146.
 55. Marzadori, C., Ciavatta, C., Montecchio, D., Gessa, C., 1996, : “Effects of lead pollution on different soil enzyme activities”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 22, pp 53–58.
 56. Moreno, J.L., Hernandez, T., Garcia, C., 1999, : “Effects of cadmium contaminated sewage sludge compost on dynamics of organic matter and microbial activity in an arid soil”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 28, pp 230–237.
 57. Nannipieri, P., 1994, : “The potential use of soil enzymes as indicators of productivity, sustainability and pollution. In: Pankhurst CE (ed) *Soil biota, management in sustainable farming systems*. CSIRO, East Melbourne, pp 238–244.
 58. Ndegwa, P.M., Thompson, S.A., 2001,: “Integrating composting and vermicomposting in treatment and bioconversion of biosolids”, *Bioresour Technol*, 76, pp 107–112.
 59. Palm, C.A., Sanchez, P.A., 1991 : “Nitrogen release from the leaves of some tropical legumes affected by their lignin and polyphenolic contents”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 23, pp 83–88.
 60. Pascual, J.A., Garcí0a, C., Hernandez, T., 1999,: “Lasting microbiological and biochemical effects of the addition of municipal solid waste to an arid soil”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 30, pp 1–6.

-
61. Pedra, F., Polo, A., Ribeiro, A., Domingues, H., 2007, : “Effects of municipal solid waste compost and sewage sludge on mineralization of soil organic matter”, *Soil Biol Biochem* 39, pp 1375–1382.
 62. Pereira Neto, J.T., Stentiford, E.I., 1992, : “Aspectos epidemiológicos da compostagem” *Revista Biológica*, 27, pp 1–6.
 63. Powelson, D.S., Brookes, P.C., Christensen, B.T., 1987, : “Measurement of soil microbial biomass provides an early indication of changes in total organic matter due to straw incorporation”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 19, 159–164.
 64. Rathi, S., 2006, : “Alternative approaches for better municipal solid waste management in Mumbai, India”, *Journal of Waste Management*, 26, 10, pp 1192–1200.
 65. Ray, M.R., Roychoudhury, S., Mukherjee, G., Roy, S., Lahiri, T., 2005, : “Respiratory and general health impairments of workers employed in a municipal solid waste disposal at open landfill site in Delhi”, *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 108, 4, pp 255–262.
 66. Reeves, D.W., 1997, : “The list of soil organic matter in maintaining soil quality in continuous cropping systems”, *Soil Till Res*, 43, pp 131–167.
 67. Richard, T.L., 1992, : “Municipal solid waste composting: physical and biological processing”, *Biomass Bioenerg*, 3, pp 163–180.
 68. Roca-Perez, L., Martinez, C., Marcilia, P., Boluda, R., 2009, : “Composting Rice straw with sewage sludge and compost effects on the soil-plant system”, *Chemosphere*, 75, pp 781–787.
 69. Ross, D.J.K., Tate, R., Cairus, A., Mayricht, K.F., Pursic, E.A., 1982, : “Restoration of pasture after topsoil removal: effect of soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization, microbial biomass and enzyme activities”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 14, pp 575–581.
 70. Saviozzi, A., Bufalino, P., Levi-Minzi, R., Riffald, R., 2002, : “Biochemical activities in a degraded soil restored by two amendments: a laboratory study”, *Biol Fertil Soils* 35: pp 96–101
 71. Selivanovskaya, S.Y., Latypova, V.Z., 2006, : “Effects of composted sewage sludge on microbial biomass, activity and pine seedlings in nursery forest”, *Waste Manage*, 26, pp 1253–1258.
 72. Selivanovskaya, S.Y., Latypova, V.Z., Kiyamova, S.N., Alimova, F.K., 2001, : “Use of microbial parameters to assess treatments methods of municipal sewage sludge applied to grey forest soils of Tatarstan”, *Agric Ecosyst Environ*, 86, pp 145–153.

-
73. Shekdar, A.V., Krshnawamy, K.N., Tikekar, V.G., Bhide, A.D., 1992, : “Indian urban solid waste management systems – jaded systems in need of resource augmentation”, *Journal of Waste Management*, 12,4, pp 379–387.
74. Sharma, S., Shah, K.W., 2005,: “Generation and disposal of solid waste in Hoshangabad. In: Book of Proceedings of the Second International Congress of Chemistry and Environment, Indore”, India, pp 749–751.
75. Singh, R.P., Agrawal, M., 2008, : “Potential benefits and risks of land application of sewage sludge”, *Waste Manage*, 28, pp 347–358.
76. Singh, S.K., Singh, R.S., 1998, : “A study on municipal solid waste and its management practices in Dhanbad–Jharia coalifield”, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection*, 18,11, pp 850–852.
77. Smith, J.L., Papendick, R.I., Bezdicek, D.F., Lynch, J.M., 1993, : “Soil organic matter dynamics and crop residue management. In: Metting B (ed) *Soil microbial ecology*. Marcel Dekker”, New York, pp 65–95.
78. Sparling, G.P., Ord, B.G., Vaughan, D., 1981, : “Microbial biomass and activity in soils amended with glucose”, *Soil Biol Biochem*, 16, pp 673–674.
79. Sharholly, M., Ahmad, K., Mahmood, G., Trivedi, R.C., 2005,: ”Analysis of municipal solid waste management systems in Delhi – a review. In: Book of Proceedings for the second International Congress of Chemistry and Environment, Indore”, India, pp 773–777.
80. Stratton, M.L., Barker, A.V., Rehcigl, J.E., 1995, : “Compost. In: Rehcigl JE (ed) *Soil amendments and environmental quality* Lewis Publishers”, New York
81. Trasar-Cepeda, C., Leiros, C., Gil-Sotres, F., Seoae, S., 1998, : “Towards a biochemical quality index for soils. An expression relating several biological and biochemical properties”, *Biol Fertil Soils*, 26, pp 100–106.
82. Tyler, G., 1981, : ”Heavy metals in soil biology and biochemistry. In: Paul EA, Ladd JN (eds) *Soil Biochemistry*”, Ch. 5. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 371–413.
83. Visser, S., Parkinsson, D., 1992, : ”Soil biological criteria as indicators of soil quality: soil microorganisms”, *Am J Altern Agric*, 7, pp 33–37.
84. Wardle, D.A., 1992 : “A comparative assessment of factors which influence microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen levels in soil”, *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc*, 67, pp 321–358.
85. Watanabe, K., Hamamura, N., 2003, : “Molecular and physiological approaches to understanding the ecology of pollutant degradation”, *Appl Soil Ecol*, 31, pp 120–135.

-
86. Zucconi, F., Bertoldi, M. 1987, : “Compost specifications for the production and characterization of compost from municipal solid waste, In: Bertoldi M et al (eds) Compost: production, quality and use”, Elsevier Applied Science, London, pp 30–50.